

Answering Queries from Statistics and Probabilistic Views

Nilesh Dalvi and Dan Suciu,
University of Washington.

Background

- ‘**Query answering using Views**’ problem: find answers to a query q over a database schema R using a set of views $V = \{v_1, v_2 \dots\}$ over R .
- **Example:** $R(\text{name,dept,phone})$

$v_1(n,d) : R(n,d,p)$

$v_1 =$

NAME	DEPT
LARRY	SALES
JOHN	SALES

$v_2(d,p) : R(n,d,p)$

$v_2 =$

DEPT	PHONE
SALES	x1234
SALES	x5678
HR	x2222

$q(p) : R(\text{LARRY},d,p)$

Background: *Certain Answers*

Let U be a finite universe of size n . Consider all possible data instances over U



Data instances consistent with the views V



Certain Answers: tuples that occur as answers in all data instances consistent with V

Example

$v_1(n,d) : R(n,d,p)$

$v_1 =$

NAME	DEPT
LARRY	SALES
JOHN	SALES

$v_2(d,p) : R(n,d,p)$

$v_2 =$

DEPT	PHONE
SALES	x1234
SALES	x5678
HR	x2222

$q(p) : R(LARRY,d,p)$

Data instances consistent with the views:

$D_1 =$

NAME	DEPT	PHONE
LARRY	SALES	x1234
JOHN	SALES	x5678
SUE	HR	x2222

$D_2 =$

NAME	DEPT	PHONE
FRANK	SALES	x5678
LARRY	SALES	x1111
JOHN	SALES	x1234
SUE	HR	x2222

.....

Example (contd.)

$V_1 =$

NAME	DEPT
LARRY	SALES
JOHN	SALES

$V_2 =$

DEPT	PHONE
SALES	x1234
SALES	x5678
HR	x2222

- No certain answers, but some answers are more likely than others.
- Domain is huge, cannot just guess **LARRY**'s number.
- A data instance is much smaller. If we know average employees per dept = 5, then **x1234** and **x5678** have 0.2 probability of being answer.

Going beyond certain answers

- *Certain answers* approach assumes complete ignorance about the knowledge of how likely is each possible database
- Often we have additional knowledge about the data in form of various statistics

Can we use such information to find answers to queries that are *statistically meaningful*?

Why Do We Care?

- **Data Privacy:** publishers can analyze the amount of information disclosed by public views about private information in the database
- **Ranked Search:** a ranked list of probable answers can be returned for queries with no certain answers.

Using Common Knowledge

- Suppose we have a priori distribution Pr over all possible databases:

$$\text{Pr}: \{D_1, \dots, D_m\} \rightarrow [0,1]$$

- We can compute the probability of a tuple t being an answer to q using $\text{Pr}[(t \in q) \mid V]$

Query Answering using views = Computing conditional probabilities on a distribution

Part I

Query answering using views under some
specific distributions

Binomial Distribution

U : a domain of size n

We start from a simple case

- $R(\text{name,dept,phone})$ a relation of arity 3
- Expected size of R is c

Binomial: Choose each of the n^3 possible tuples independently with probability p .

Expected size of R is $c \Rightarrow p = c/n^3$

Let μ_n denote the resulting distribution. For any instance D ,

$$\mu_n[D] = p^k(1-p)^{n^3 - k}, \text{ where } k = |D|$$

Binomial: Example I

$R(\text{name,dept,phone}) \quad |R| = c, \text{ domain size} = n$

$v : R(\text{LARRY}, -, -)$

$q : R(-, -, \text{x1234})$

$\mu_n[q | v] \approx (c+1)/n = \text{negligible if } n \text{ is large}$

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n[q | v] = 0$

v gives negligible information about q when domain is large

Binomial: Example II

$R(\text{name,dept,phone}) \quad |R| = c, \text{ domain size} = n$

$v : R(\text{LARRY}, -, -), R(-, -, \text{x1234})$

$q : R(\text{LARRY}, -, \text{x1234})$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n[q | v] = 1/(1+c)$$

v gives non-negligible information about q ,
even for large domains

Binomial: Example III

$R(\text{name,dept,phone}) \quad |R| = c, \text{ domain size} = n$

$v : R(\text{LARRY, SALES, -}), R(-, \text{SALES, x1234})$

$q : R(\text{LARRY, SALES, x1234})$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n[q | v] = 1$$

Binomial distribution cannot express more interesting statistics.

A Variation on Binomial

- Suppose we have following statistics on $R(\text{name,dept,phone})$:
 - Expected number of distinct $R.\text{dept} = c_1$
 - Expected number of distinct tuples for each $R.\text{dept} = c_2$
- Consider the following distribution μ_n
 - For each $x_d \in U$, choose it as a $R.\text{dept}$ value with probability c_1/n
 - For each x_d chosen above, for each $(x_n, x_p) \in U^2$, include the tuple (x_n, x_d, x_p) in R with probability c_2/n^2

Examples

$R(\text{name,dept,phone}) \quad |\text{dept}|=c_1, |\text{dept} \Rightarrow \text{name,phone}| = c_2, |R|=c_1c_2$

Example 1:

$v : R(\text{LARRY}, -, -), R(-, -, \text{x1234})$

$q : R(\text{LARRY}, -, \text{x1234})$

$\mu\{q | v\} = 1/(c_1c_2+1)$

Example 2:

$v : R(\text{LARRY}, \text{SALES}, -), R(-, \text{SALES}, \text{x1234})$

$q : R(\text{LARRY}, \text{SALES}, \text{x1234})$

$\mu\{q | v\} = 1/(c_2+1)$

Part II : Representing Knowledge as a Probability Distribution

Knowledge about data

- A set of statistics Γ on the database
 - cardinality statistics : $\text{card}_{\mathcal{R}}\{A\} = c$
 - fanout statistics: $\text{fanout}_{\mathcal{R}}\{A \Rightarrow B\} = c$
 -
- A set of integrity constraints Σ
 - functional dependencies: $R.A \rightarrow R.B$
 - inclusion dependencies: $R.A \subseteq R.B$

Representing Knowledge

Statistics and constraints are statements on the probability distribution P

- $\text{card}_{\mathcal{R}}\{A\} = c$ implies the following

$$\sum_i P\{D_i\} \text{card}(\Pi_A(\mathcal{R}^{D_i})) = c$$

- $\text{fanout}_{\mathcal{R}}\{A \Rightarrow B\}$ implies a similar condition
- A constraint Σ implies that $P\{D_i\} = 0$ on data instances D_i that violate Σ

Problem: P is not uniquely defined by these statements!

The Maximum Entropy Principle

- Among all the probability distributions that satisfy Σ and Γ , choose the one with maximum entropy.
- Widely used to convert prior information into prior probability distribution
- Gives a distribution that commits the least to any specific instance while satisfying all the equations.

Examples of Entropy Maximization

- $R(\text{name,dept,phone})$ a relation of arity 3

- Example 1:

$$\Gamma = \text{empty}, \Sigma = \{ \text{card}\{R\} = c \}$$

Entropy maximizing distribution = Binomial

- Example 2:

$$\Gamma = \text{empty}, \Sigma = \{ \text{card}_R\{\text{dept}\} = c_1, \\ \text{fanout}_R\{\text{dept} \Rightarrow \text{name,phone}\} = c_2 \}$$

Entropy maximizing distribution = variation on Binomial distribution we studied earlier.

Query answering problem

Given a set of statistics Σ and constraints Γ , let $\mu_{\Sigma, \Gamma, n}$ denote the maximum entropy distribution assuming a domain of size n .

Problem: Given statistics Σ , constraints Γ , and boolean conjunctive queries q and v , compute the asymptotic limit of $\mu_{\Sigma, \Gamma, n}[q \mid v]$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

Main Result

- For Boolean conjunctive queries q and v , the quantity $\mu_{\Sigma, \Gamma, n}[q \mid v]$ always has an asymptotic limit and we show how to compute it.

Glimpse into Main Result

- For any conjunctive query Q , we show that $\mu_{\Sigma, \Gamma, n}[Q]$ is a polynomial of the form

$$c_1(1/n)^d + c_2(1/n)^{d+1} + \dots$$

- $\mu_{\Sigma, \Gamma, n}[q \mid v] = \mu_{\Sigma, \Gamma, n}[qv] / \mu_{\Sigma, \Gamma, n}[v] =$ ratio of two polynomials.
- Only the leading coefficient and exponent matter, and we show how to compute them.

Conclusions

- We show how to use common knowledge about data to find answers to queries that are statistically meaningful
 - Provides a formal framework for studying database privacy breaches using statistical attacks.
- We use the principle of entropy maximization to represent statistics as a prior probability distribution.
- The techniques are also applicable when the contents of views are themselves uncertain.

Questions?